

## CSC 3990 – Presentation Feedback

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Presenter's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Authors of paper: \_\_\_\_\_

*Please rate the presentation using the following criteria (1-poor, 2-weak, 3-fair, 4-good, 5-excellent)*

### Content

Introduction made it clear what the problem to solve is	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Conveyed why this problem is important/interesting	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Presented main results and techniques clearly	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Explained crucial technical terms clearly for a general audience	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Critiqued the work (strengths and weaknesses)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Stimulated interesting/lively discussion	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Used examples effectively	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Speaker strove to make subtle ideas simpler	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Conclusion mentioned future work	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall evaluation of content	1	2	3	4	5	

### Presentation Style

Organized talk well	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Spoke clearly	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Maintained good flow (minimal hesitation/fumbling)	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Spoke with sufficient volume	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Visual aids were readable and useful	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Length of talk was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Pace was appropriate	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Was well prepared	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Was confident	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Handled questions effectively	1	2	3	4	5	N/A
Overall evaluation of presentation style	1	2	3	4	5	

### Topic

How familiar were you with the topic?

- Intimately
- Somewhat
- Vaguely
- Not at all

**Please use the back of the page for additional comments and suggestions for improvement.**

## Suggestions for improvement

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

## Other comments

- 
- 
- 
- 
-